Promoting Child Involvement in Food Decision-Making for School-Aged Youth

## Background

Research suggests child involvement in meal preparation is associated with greater preference for and consumption of healthy foods such as fruits and vegeta bles (Berge etal., 2006; Vollmer\& Baietto, 2017),

However, parents may be reluctant to involve children due to increased time or ha ssle (Fulkerson et al., 2011).
Forschool-aged youth who are becoming inc reasingly auto nomous and strive to make dec isions for themselves (Kunn \& Frankiin, 2006), involvement could occur in different forms including help with food shopping and decisions about their own food, such as lunches for sc hool.

To encourage child involvement, indirect outreach such as parent newsletters is not alwa ys effective (Hill $\&$ Tyson, 2009; Hingle etal., 2010, but inc orporating interactive technology could help engage parents (Mitchell et al., 2013).

## Objectives

Objective 1: Describe child involvement in

- Food preparation at home
- Food shopping
- Decisions about lunches
- Selection of lunch foods
- Lunch preparation

Objective 2: Exa mine associations between child involvement and dietary intake
Objective 3: Desc ribe outreach and engagement with newsletters including added online interactive component a imed at promoting child involvement

Objective 1: Child Involvement


Objective 2: Assoc iations with Dietary Intake
Sample 1: In line with prior research, child involvement in food prep can encourage consumption of food at home, including a greater variety of vegetables. Involvement in food shopping did not have the same effect


Sample 2: Child involvement with lunches (including help with prep and food selection) can also encourage consumption of healthy foods.


Graph depicts median values compared using Independent Samples Knskal.-Walis Test. Find ings for child involvement with
preparation and selec tion follow same pattem. There were no significant differences for involvement in dec isions about unch to

## UC Davis Parents to Peers Study

Sample 1: Parents a nd their $4^{\text {th }}, 5^{\text {th }}$ or $6^{\text {th }}$ grade student $(\mathrm{n}=195)$ - Completed surveys about:

- How often does your child go shopping for food with you?
- How often does your child help prepare meals at home? - Child reports of food consumption in the past 7 days $(y / n)$ for items, summed in categories of fruits, vegetables, and sna C KS (Di Noia etal., 2014; Masters et al., 2014; Vespalainen et al., 2015
Sample 2: Pa rents a nd their $4^{\text {th }}, 5^{\text {th }}$ or $6^{\text {th }}$ grade student $(\mathrm{n}=121)$ - Reported daily for 5 school days:
- Did your child help decide if they would buy lunch or bring a packed lunch from home?
- Did your child help select items in the lunch (forpacked)? - Did your child help prepare their lunch (forpacked)? Students' lunches were imaged and analyzed to calculate the average number of servings they consumed of fruits, vegetables, and snacks a cross 5 sc hool lunc hes (Tayloretal., 2018)


## Objective 3: Outreach

We sent home fa mily newsletters with links to an online, interactive component geared towardsencouraging child involvement in: towardsencouraging child involvement in info about new foods

- Shopping togethervia info about local places to buy new foods, the costs, and forms (e.g., frozen) - Meal preparation via recipes for new foods with child-specific recipe tips

Approximately 400 newsletters were distributed to students in their classrooms - 63 parents ( $16 \%$ ) indicated they saw the newsletter, via online orpaperreports retumed to the school for which they received $\$ 1$ - Of those, 58 ( $92 \%$ ) entered a foods tried score, indicating some engagement

- The online interactive component received $\mathbf{1 5}$ visits Avg. time spent on website was $\sim \mathbf{1 3}$ minutes




## Disc ussion

For fa milies of school-aged youth who find involving children in meal prep diffic ult, involvement with sc hool lunches may be an easier option.
Parent engagement through newsletters and promoting child involvement remain diffic ult. More work is needed to address ba miers.
Additionally, new a venues to connect with parents, such as through social media, need to be explored.

