

# Initial Spark of Hope: Considering Attachment First



Ragan A. Lybbert, MS<sup>1</sup>; Jonathan G. Sandberg, PhD<sup>1</sup>; Josh R. Novak, PhD<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Brigham Young University, Marriage and Family Therapy, <sup>2</sup>Utah State University, Marriage and Family Therapy

## **Abstract**

In a clinical sample of couples, the relationship between a couple's attachment level, the stability of their relationship and the severity of their presenting problems at first session were considered. Attachment scores were divided into tertiles (low, medium and high), and a significant difference was found between the top and bottom tertiles of secure attachment for both genders for 7 of 10 presenting problems' severity and for relationship stability.

## Introduction

### **Initial Sessions are important**

- Clients who drop out of therapy during the initial sessions exhibit similar outcomes to those who did not attend therapy at all (Masi, Miller and Olson, 2003).
- Halford et al. (2012) report that the impact (or the lack thereof) of couples therapy on couples during initial sessions (up to the first half of sessions) predicts the overall outcome for that same couple.

#### Clients expect help with presenting problem

- Couples seek therapy for a variety of reasons (Roddy, Rothman, Cicila, & Doss, 2018; Doss, Simpson, & Christensen, 2004; Miller, Yorgason, Sandberg, & White, 2003)
- Couples seeking therapy report a primary expectation of "work[ing] together to resolve the problems that brought them to therapy" (Tambling, Wong and Anderson, 2014, p. 36)

#### Attachment's role in couple's relationship

• Secure attachment contributes to relationship quality, stability and satisfaction (Sandberg, Bradford, & Brown, 2017; Simpson, 1987; Fitzpatrick & Sollie, 1999; Sandberg, Novak, Davis, & Busby, 2016)

#### Attachment's role in therapy

 Facilitating development of specific attachment-based behaviors during therapy sessions positively impacts attachment levels and relationship satisfaction (Sandberg, Bradford, & Brown, 2017)

# **Research Questions**

- What is the association between initial levels of couple attachment and presenting problem severity?
- 2 What is the association between initial levels of couple attachment and relationship stability?
- 3 Do these associations differ by gender?

## Results

Findings in the table below suggest a significant difference at first session between the top and bottom tertiles of attachment levels as related to 8 of 10 presenting problems for males and for 7 out of the 10 presenting problems for females.

For both partners, a higher attachment behavior score indicated significantly higher (p < .001) relationship stability when comparing upper attachment behavior tertile to the lower tertile at session 1.

# Presenting Problem Severity Comparison by Attachment Score at Time 1

Female		Male						
Presenting Problem	Attach	ment Tertile	p	<b>Presenting Pro</b>	blem	Attachn	nent Tertile	e p
Financial Matters	Low	Med	.683	Financial Matta	Financial Matters	Low	Med	.663
		High	.018*	Filialiciai Matte		LOW	High	.094
Communication	Low	Med	.018*	Communication	Communication	Low	Med	.041*
		High	.000***	Communication			High	.000***
Rearing Children	Low	Med	.009**	Regring Childre	Rearing Children	Low	Med	.256
		High	.000***	Kearing Ciniuic			High	.002**
Intimacy/Sexuality	Low	Med	.347	Intimacy/Sayua	Intimacy/Sexuality	Low	Med	.069
		High	.001**	IIIIIIIacy/Sexua			High	.001**
Parents/In-Laws	Low	Med	.119	Parents/In I assu	Parents/In-Laws	Low	Med	.834
		High	.162	1 archis/m-Laws			High	.001**
Roles (who does what)	Low	Med	.347	Roles (who doe	Roles (who does what)	Low	Med	.730
		High	.000***	Roles (who doe			High	.000***
Time Spent Together	Low	Med	.591	Time Spent Too	Time Spent Together	Low	Med	.057
		High	.001**	Time Spent rog		LUW	High	.000***
Time Spent Using Media (e.g. Video Games, TV, Internet)	Low	Med	.715	Time Spent Usi	Time Spent Using Media (e.g. Video Games, TV, Internet)	Low	Med	.066
		High	.019*	Games, TV, Inte			High	.000***
Type of Media (e.g. Violent or Sexually Oriented)	Low	Med	.401	Type of Media (	Type of Media (e.g. Violent or Sexually	Low	Med	.086
		High	.223	Oriented)			High	.004**
Substance/Chemical Use	Low	Med	.611	Substance/Chen	Substance/Chemical Use	Low	Med	.292
		High	.062	Substance/Chen			High	.362
* Mean difference is significant at the .05 level  ** Mean difference is significant at the .01 level  *** Mean difference is signific							ent at the .00	)1 level

References

# **Implications**

Because the impact of initial sessions is important to overall outcome, our findings suggest that marriage and family therapists may wish to focus a portion of each of the first sessions on building secure attachment. We believe doing so could help inspire hope, increase client attendance and decrease client's perception of the severity of presenting problem.

Additionally, this attachment "tune-up" may help generate greater stability in the relationship, possibly alleviating some relational stress for clients and allowing them to focus more on therapeutic goals and their presenting problem.

Finally, there was little to no difference between males and females in regards to the impact of attachment and outcome variables, suggesting the universality of attachment needs across gender.

## Limitations

Clinician's should use caution and discretion in using this approach when working with couples who are highly dysregulated and/or in relationships with high conflict or abuse taking place between partners.

Contact: Ragan A. Lybbert, Brigham Young University - Email: raglyb@gmail.com

# 1. Doss, B. D., Simpson, L. E., & Christensen, A., (2004). Why do couples seek marital therapy? *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 35(6), 608-614.

- 2. Fitzpatrick, J. & Sollie, D. L., (1999). Influence of individual and interpersonal factors on satisfaction and stability in romantic relationships. Personal Relationships, 6(3), 337-350.
- 3. Halford, W. K., Hayes, S., Christensen, A., Lambert, M., Baucom, D. H. & Atkins, D. C., (2012). Toward making progress feedback an effective common factor in couple therapy. Behavior Therapy, 43(1), 49-60.
- 4. Masi, M. V., Miller, R. B. & Olson, M. M., (2003). Differences in dropout rates among individual, couple, and family therapy clients. Contemporary Family Therapy: An International Journal, 25(1), 63-75.
- 5. Miller, R. B., Yorgason, J. B., Sandberg, J. G., & White, M. B., (2003). Problems that couples bring to therapy: A view across the family life cycle. American Journal of Family Therapy, 31(5), 395-407. 6. Roddy, M. K., Rothman, K., Cicila, L. N., & Doss, B. D., (2018). Why do couples seek relationship help online? Description and comparison to in-person interventions. Journal of Marital and Family Therapy (in press).
- 7. Sandberg, J. G., Bradford, A. B., & Brown, A. P., (2017). Differentiating between attachment styles and behaviors and their association with marital quality. Family Process, 56(2), 518-531.
- 8. Sandberg, J. G., Novak, J. R., Davis, S. Y. & Busby, D. M., (2016). The brief accessibility, responsiveness, and engagement scale: A tool for measuring attachment behaviors in clinical couples. Journal of Marital and Family Therapy, 42(1), 106-122.
- 9. Simpson, J. A., (1987). The dissolution of romantic relationships: Factors involved in relationship stability and emotional distress. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 53(4), 683-692. 10. Tambling, R. B., Wong, A. G. and Anderson, S. R., (2014). Expectations about couple therapy: A qualitative investigation. American Journal of Family Therapy, 42(1), 29-41.