

## Bibliography: Family Science as a Discipline

- Bailey, S. J., & Gentry, D. B. (2013). Teaching about Family Science as a discipline. *Handbook of Marriage and the Family*, 3, 861–883. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-3987-5\\_35](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-3987-5_35)
- Burr, W., & Leigh, G. (1983). Famology: A new discipline. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 45(3), 467–480. <https://doi.org/doi:10.2307/351653>
- Choi, B. C., & Pak, A. W. (2006). Multidisciplinarity, interdisciplinarity and transdisciplinarity in health research, services, and education and policy: 1. Definitions, objectives, and evidence of effectiveness. *Clinical & Investigative Medicine*, 29(6), 351–364.
- Cushman, D. (2012). If there were a Wikipedia page for famology, what would it say? *NCFR Report*, 57(3), 4–4. [www.ncfr.org/sites/default/files/2018-05/2012%20Winter%20Report%20News.pdf](http://www.ncfr.org/sites/default/files/2018-05/2012%20Winter%20Report%20News.pdf)
- Ganong, L., Coleman, M., & Demo, D. (1995). Issues in training Family Scientists. *Family Relations*, 44(4), 501–507. <https://doi.org/doi:10.2307/585004>
- Gavazzi, S. M., Wilson, S. M., Ganong, L., & Zvonkovic, A. (2014). Furthering the conversation on the future of the discipline of Family Science: Comments on the articles by Hamon & Smith and Hans. *Family Relations*, 63(3), 333–342. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fare.12076>
- Hans, J. D. (2014). Whither famology? Department name trends across four decades. *Family Relations*, 63(3), 323–332. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fare.12075>
- Hamon, R. R., & Smith, S. R. (2014). The discipline of Family Science and the continuing need for innovation. *Family Relations*, 63(3), 309–322. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fare.12068>
- Hamon, R. R., & Smith, S. R. (2017). Family Science as translational science: A history of the discipline. *Family Relations*, 66(4), 550–567. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fare.12273>
- Hollinger, M. A. (2002). Family Science: Historical roots, theoretical foundations, and disciplinary identity. *Journal of Teaching in Marriage & Family*, 2(3), 299–328. [https://doi.org/10.1300/J226v02n03\\_03](https://doi.org/10.1300/J226v02n03_03)
- Myers-Walls, J. A., Ballard, S. M., Darling, C. A., & Myers-Bowman, K. S. (2011). Reconceptualizing the domain and boundaries of Family Life Education. *Family Relations*, 60(4), 357–372. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3729.2011.00659.x>
- NCFR Task Force on the Development of a Family Discipline. (1985). Task force for the development of a family discipline: Report from NCFR. *NCFR Report*, 30(4), 14–15. <https://archive.ncfr.org/ncfr-newsletters/december-1985-ncfr-report>
- NCFR Task Force on the Development of the Family Discipline. (1988). What is Family Science? *Family Science Review*, 1(2), 87–101. <https://drive.google.com/file/d/1z4XMW5jTK1waZY5IYMtEf9V9cLRYvIh5>
- Smart, L. S. (2009). Family Science: The emergence of a new discipline. *Family Science Review*, 14(1), 118–128. [https://drive.google.com/file/d/1eRMpel3oWOepqIA9ZQjoH\\_H0bT2JZJoF](https://drive.google.com/file/d/1eRMpel3oWOepqIA9ZQjoH_H0bT2JZJoF)
- Task Force on the Development of a Family Discipline. (1987). A recommendation about the identity of the family discipline. *Family Science Review*, 1(1), 48–52. [https://drive.google.com/file/d/1x4IlfJSfOMgKKNxhSc\\_a1sATR6hWVM5b](https://drive.google.com/file/d/1x4IlfJSfOMgKKNxhSc_a1sATR6hWVM5b)