# **Cuba and the US: Comparing Early Education Policy**

Elsa K. Weber, Ph.D. Associate Professor, Purdue University Northwest, Emerita

### Abstract

The US and Cuba are vastly different in many ways, yet there are commonalities. One similarity is the level of education, as measured by literacy. Both countries report nearly 100% Literacy.<sup>20,21</sup> How does that happen? US is large and rich. Cuba is small and poor by many measures. Cuban educational philosophy has been influenced by American thought but is also intensely Cuban.<sup>2</sup>.

I have not found many direct comparisons between the two countries. Cuba is included in studies of poor and developing countries, sponsored by UNESCO.<sup>3</sup> US is measured against Rich Countries<sup>17,18</sup>. Visits to over one dozen child centers in Cuba over the last 6 years have given me a broad look at provision for early care, education and health, and raised questions about an obvious expectation that children in rich countries fare better than in poor countries. Various indicators suggest that this is not the case for children in Cuba. One important reason may be almost universal access by children to health care, and to early education through both formal (20%) and non-formal (80%) programs. Both offer children equitable access to early education. I have been able to observe only formal programs, nevertheless my impressions may offer insights and plausible comparisons yielding food for thought in US policy for early child development.

### Methods

I compare US and Cuba on two levels. National, and Local National Level: UNICEF guidelines<sup>20</sup>. US does not participate.

CUBA: meets 8 out of 10 standard benchmarks for Early Childhood well being, with no listed information for two. I found plausible references for those benchmarks in internet sources.

US: I list relevant websites that plausibly match the Cuba 2016 data. Local Curricular Level: Notable Differences.

- US: Subscales of the ECERS-R Early Childhood Environment Rating Scale<sup>10</sup>. provide an overview of program content and quality.
- CUBA: I observed more than one dozen formal early child programs (Circulo Infantiles). These look very much like the US in many ways. Non-Formal programs (*Educa-a-tu-Hijo*) produce similar results for child development.

*Note*: There was no actual ECERS examination of any center in Cuba. (Scale has not been validated for this).

### **Food for Thought**

- What do you think of these differences? Do they strike you as positive or negative?
- Do you see any ways in which the United States might learn from what Cuba has done with early childhood programs?
- In what ways might the US emulate some of the work that has been done?

EANDJWEBER@SBCGLOBAL.NET

### **Unicef Early Childhood Benchmarks**

Benchmarks <sup>20</sup>	Cuba's position <sup>20</sup>	US Position	
Policy framework			Hygiene Facilities in
Parental leave: 1 yr. @ 50% pay. 2 weeks/fathers.	One-year paid leave. 2 <sup>nd</sup> ½ can be shared/father.	12 weeks for women in companies with 50+ employees. <sup>7</sup>	<ul> <li>A nurse and nurse's</li> <li>Health care is smoor</li> </ul>
National plan, priority for disadvantaged children	National Action Plan for Children being drafted (2016)	IDEA Reauthorized 2004, Amended 2015, PL114- 95 <sup>16</sup>	<ul> <li>Teachers enhance o (teaching pictures)</li> <li>Phonemic awarenes</li> <li>Very few books are a</li> <li>Little environmental about this</li> </ul>
	Access	<b>F</b> a da na la	about this.
Subsidized/ Regulated - child care -services for 25% of children under 3. Subsidized/ Accredited -early ed.services 80% of 4 yr. olds.	Free Early Child care/education for children 0 to 6 99.5 % served (Most through accredited community-based programs (Non-Formal)	Federal: Head Start Serves ~20% of children who meet FPL guidelines <sup>12</sup> Infant slots: 1 for every 5 children <sup>1</sup> Attendance: <sup>9</sup> 40% 3yr. 69% 4 yr. 88% 5 yr. Most families pay some. Poor families pay larger% of income <sup>11</sup> .	<ul> <li>Art &amp; manipulative (Often handmade.)</li> <li>No wooden blocks of Extensive gardens. The Sand &amp; Water play results and Dance are Music and Dance are Dramatic Play is high themes available.</li> <li>TV and video restrict programs.</li> <li>Society is considered Racial differences not support the support of the superior of the support of the superior of the support of the superior of the support of the superior of the support of the superior of the super</li></ul>
	Quality	of income	all other children.
In accredited early Ed. services, 80% child care staff Trained. 50% tertiary educated with relevant qualification.	Quality Systematic training of all staff in both moalities (Formal & Non-Formal) Educators: vocational or university degree in preschool education	HeadStart: <sup>15</sup> 50% req. BA, Early Child focus. States: Patchy <sup>15</sup> 33 req. BA 10 req. AA 12 req. BA Public not private centers.	<ul> <li>No time out! Childred Grounded in educat</li> <li>Teachers lead gross</li> <li>Children interact in Teacher assisted in</li> <li>One toddler cried up immediately comfort</li> </ul>
Minimum staff/child ratio of 1:15 in pre-school education	Highest teacher-to-child ratio is 1:13 for 5 to 6 yr. olds	NAEYC Recom. Ratio: <sup>7</sup> . 1:9 or 10 Highest Ratios:Florida <sup>6</sup> 3yr. 15:1, 4yr. 20:1, 5yr. 25:1	<ul> <li>Dramatic play areas</li> <li>Small, teacher guide</li> <li>Group "performance recitation.</li> <li>Parents decide when in a center, or in species</li> </ul>
1% of GDP spent on early childhood services.	.88% GDP on Pre-primary 2010 <sup>13</sup> (No official data) N	.35% GDP on Pre-primary 2010 <sup>14</sup>	<ul> <li>Pleasant Reception Information are pos</li> <li>Staff make regular h</li> <li>Parents participate!</li> </ul>
Child poverty rate < 10% Essential child health services: Near-universal outreach	Few good estimates. ~15% <sup>3</sup> (No official data) Universal access to free health care	14.1% children 0 – 17 yrs. (2017) <sup>4</sup> 5.5%: no health care (2018) <sup>5</sup>	<ul> <li>visit centers. (Time for the second second</li></ul>

## **Notable Differences in Cuba**

#### Space & Furnishings

Cement and Ceramic surfaces are typical. Most activities outdoors. Rooms sparsely furnished. Colorful Decorations. Very little child production. • Gross Motor Climbers: Some. Many areold, rusted, unused

#### **Personal Care**

nclude bathing areas. 's office are provided. othly integrated with education.

#### Language-Reasoning

oral language using laminas ) in small groups. ess teaching begins in kindergarten accessible.

al print. Philosophical reservations

#### Activities

materials extremely limited.

- observed, although there are Legos. Terrariums for observation.
- not visible.
- are major group activities.
- ghly developed with multiple

icted to 5 Yr. olds, Various learning

- ed AfroCaribbean homogeneous. not discussed.
- with special needs are included with

#### Interaction

ren are not "punished" or scolded. ational philosophy.

- s motor activities. (Games, exercises) n much dramatic play. I saw one argument.
- n resolution.
- upon seeing visitors. She was
- orted.

#### **Program Structure**

is reflect local and national occupations. ded groups occur during independent activities. ce" is encouraged. Children learn song, dance and

ere to educate special needs children: at home, pecial schools.

#### **Parents & Staff**

areas: Parents enter to wait or relax. Schedules & sted.

- home visits.
- e! Employers are expected to allow parents time to e to visit is mandated if a child has special needs.) cluded in local curriculum planning.
- construct all dramatic play equipment and many other

e provided for staff.

- ery small) are provided.
- or staff to continue professional development. or everyone.









